# CITY OF COOS BAY CITY COUNCIL <br> Agenda Staff Report 

|  | MEETING DATE <br> March 19, 2013 | AGENDA ITEM NUMBER |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TO: | Mayor Shoji and City Council |  |
| FROM: | Jennifer Wirsing, Engineering Service Coordinator <br> Jim Hossley, Public Works and Development Director <br> Rodger Craddock, City Manager pee |  |
| Through: | Consideration of Approval to Acquire Timber Land from Coos Bay North <br> Bend Water Board (CBNBWB) |  |
| ISSUE: |  |  |

## BACKGROUND:

The City of Coos Bay owns forest land within the Pony Creek Watershed. This watershed is nearly 3,000 acres, of which the City of Coos Bay owns approximately half and the CBNBWB manages the other half. Stuntzner Engineering \& Forestry, LLC was the City's consultant for the 2012 Timber Sale. In preparation of this sale, Stuntzner performed a timber cruise to assess the units for the timber sale. Based on the results of the timber cruise it was observed that a unit of land that comprises 0.88 acres, located on the western side of the Loop Road, was managed by the CBNBWB. The land surrounding these 0.88 acres is owned by the City. As such Stuntzner recommended that the City and CBNBWB conduct a joint Timber Sale that not only included the City land but the 0.88 acres owned by the CBNBWB. It was further recommended that after the sale the City purchase the 0.88 acres from the CBNBWB.

As a result of Stuntzner's recommendation, the City and the CBNBWB entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) at the beginning of the 2012 Timber Sale. One of the line items associated with the MOU was an option to purchase the 0.88 acres "at a price to be mutually determined and agreed to by the City and CBNBWB". Greg Stone, from Stuntzner, prepared an appraisal of the land (see attached). From the appraisal it was determined that the value of the newly planted land is $\$ 788$. Staff will need to prepare a lot line adjustment if this property is purchased.

## ADVANTAGES:

If the purchase of the land is approved, it will increase the acreage that the City currently owns. Since the City owns the land surrounding this unit, the next time this area is ready to be logged, the City will also be able to log this portion and benefit from the revenue.

## DISADVANTAGES:

Staff sees no disadvantages with this recommendation.

## BUDGET:

The purchase of this land shall be funded by the proceeds from the 2012 Timber Sale.

## RECOMMENDATION:

If it pleases the Council, approved the preparation of a lot line adjustment and the purchase of the 0.88 acres from the Coos Bay North Bend Water Board for a cost of $\$ 788$.

## ATTACHMENTS:

Greg Stone's appraisal of the 0.88 acres.

| From: | Greg Stone [gregstone@stuntzner.com](mailto:gregstone@stuntzner.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, December 31, 2012 10:13 AM |
| To: | Ron Hoffine; Jennifer Wirsing |
| Cc: | Chris Hood |
| Subject: | RE: 0.88-Acre |
| Attachments: | 20121231221004276.pdf |

Ron and Jennifer,
Here is the email with the attachments

Greg

From: Greg Stone [mailto:gregstone@stuntzner,com]
Sent: Monday, December 31, 2012 9:48 AM
To: Ron Hoffine (ron hoffine@cbnbh2o.com); Jennifer Wirsing
Cc: Chris Hood (chood@stuntzner.com)
Subject: 0.88-Acre
Ron and Jennifer
One of the action-plan items to consider for 2013 is the MOU between the City and Water Board to transfer 0.88 -acres of Water Board land to the City. This small parcel is a hold-over from the land trade completed several years back. The land has never been surveyed to "precisely" locate the parcel but it has been accurately mapped on ortho-rectified satellite imagery. The satellite imagery is coordinated with several found property monuments within the watershed. The overall accuracy of the mapping is less than one-half of one percent different between the GIS mapping area and assessor area. The parcel may in fact be more or less than 0.88 -acres but the accuracy of the mapping has proved very accurate overall. It would cost significantly more to precise locate the parcel than the parcel is worth.

A summary our records of comparable sales of land and reproduction are attached. The timberland is judged to be Site II-timberland worth $\$ 580$ per acre. The reproduction, after planting, will be worth approximately $\$ 316$ per acre. Planting costs include seedlings at $\$ 0.435$ each, planting at $\$ 0.335$ each, inspection, transport and cold storage at approximately $\$ 0.02$ each for a total of $\$ 0.79$ each. The target planting spacing is 400 trees per acre giving a total planting expense of $\$ 316$ per acre plus timberland at $\$ 580$ per acre. So, the total value of the planted land is approximately $\$ 896$ times $\mathbf{0 . 8 8}$-acres or $\mathbf{\$ 7 8 8}$.

In terms of the transfer documents, you might want to talk to Chris Hood in our office. Chris is very knowledgeable about County Planning issues and may have some ideas about how to simplify the transfer without expensive legal research, partitions or complicated legal descriptions. A 'quit-claim" deed may be appropriate in this case.

## Greg

BARE TIMBERLAND VALUE BY SITE QUALITY

| Site Class | Site Index (50) | Large Sales \$/Acre |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Productive |  |  | 175 |
| IV- | 80 | \$220 | No Data |
| IV | 85 | \$250 | No Data |
| IV+ | 90 | \$280 | No Data |
| III- | 95 | \$310 | No Data |
| III- | 100 | \$340 | \$425 |
| III | 105 | \$370 | \$470 |
| III+ | 110 | \$410 | \$505 |
| III+ | 115 | \$445 |  |
|  | 120 | \$485 | 4 4 |
| II | 125 | \$520 | \$615 |
| IIt | 130 | \$590 | \$670 |
| I- | 135 | \$655 | \$725 |
| I | 140 | \$725 | \$780 |
| HBU |  |  |  |

Date compiled: 5/16/2012
Number of Large Sales included: 8
Number of Small Sales included: 25
Combined acres 151,806
The Break Used Between Small and Large Sales is 1,000 Acres Includes timberland sales From Western Oregon and Washington All Sold between 1/1/2010 and 6/1/2012 Ranging in size from 38 to 82,000 acres

Premerch Value Table For Sales Smaller Than 1,000 Acres BASED UPON TRANSACTION DATA FOR WESTERN OREGON TIMBERLAND SALES VALUES REPRESENT WELL STOCKED, PREMERCHANTABLE STANDS OF DOUGLAS FIR
SITE INDEX (50 YEARS)

| AGE | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 | 105 | 110 | 115 | 304003 | 125 | 130 | 135 | 140 | 145 | Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 465 |  | 540383 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | \$433 | \$450 | \$468 | \$485 | \$502 | \$52 | \$545 | \$579 | \$596 | \$621 | \$648 | \$675 | 5702 | \$729 | 9 |
| 10 | \$596 | \$638 | \$679 | 5721 | 5763 | \$804 | \$865 | \$947 | \$987 | \$1,048 | \$1,116 | \$1,183 | \$1,251 | \$1,319 | 8 |
| 15 | 5762 | \$877 | \$992 | \$1,10 | \$1,223 | \$1,338 | \$1,459 | \$1,598 | . 701 | \$1,822 | \$1,952 | \$2,081 | \$2,210 | \$2,340 | 8 |
| 20 | \$1,137 | \$1,272 | 1,406 | \$1,541 | \$1,676 | \$1,811 | \$1,996 | \$2,217 | \$2,368 | \$2,554 | \$2,766 | \$2,979 | \$3,192 | \$3,404 | 14 |
| 25 | \$1,373 | \$1,564 | \$1,755 | \$1,947 | \%,13 | 52,329 | \$2,591 | \$2,909 | \$3,114 | \$3,376 | \$3.695 | \$4,013 | \$4,332 | \$4,650 | 9 |
| 30 | \$1,632 | \$1,892 | \$2,153 | \$2,414 | \$2,674 | \$2,935 | \$3,290 | \$3,727 | \$3,999 | \$4,354 | \$4,811 | \$5,267 | \$5,724 | \$6,181 | 4 |
| 35 | \$1,782 | \$2,104 | \$2,425 | \$2,747 | \$3,068 | \$3,390 | \$3,825 | \$4,370 | \$4,696 | \$5,132 | \$5,724 | 56,316 | 66,908 | \$7,5 | 2 |
|  | N- | N | ${ }^{\mathrm{N}+}$ | II- | III- | III | $\begin{gathered} \text { HII }+ \\ \text { STE CLASS } \end{gathered}$ | .111+ | 1 - | II | $11+$ | - | 1 | I |  |
|  | 100 | 110 | 120 | 125 | 130 | $\begin{gathered} 135 \\ \text { STE IN } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ \text { NDEX (100 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 150 \\ \text { rears) } \end{gathered}$ | 160 | 170 | 180 | 190 | 200 | 205 |  |

[^0]Date of sales ranges from $1 / 2010$ to $12 / 2011$
Total number of sale transactions utilized
Total number of sale transactions utilized
Total acres of premerchantable timber in sale transactions



[^0]:    | Small Sales |
    | ---: |
    | 24 Sales |
    | Acres |

    3,933 Acres

