

**Comments and Public Inquiries Received for Application No. 187-18-00153: Jordan Cove Energy Navigation and Efficiency and Reliability of the Coos Bay Deep Draft Navigation Channel.**

	Name	Date Received	For or against	Synopsis (See attachments for full comments)
1	<a href="#">Jody McCaffery</a>	January 4, 26, 28, 2019 February 15, 2019 March 19-20, 2019 April 23- 25 (submitted written comments on April 25), 2019	Against	General inquiry wishing to receive notice when available. How to submit comments. view current code. Update on open record period.  Ownership dynamic of the Bay. JCEP not legal owners of Coos Estuary. EIS must be completed first. Insufficient evidence submitted to meet applicable criteria. Proper environmental studies not completed by applicant. Public need not demonstrated by applicant. Additional CBEMP Policies must be followed. Detriment to eel grass and habitat. Need to consider concurrent application in other jurisdictions. Earthquake / Tsunami concerns. Coast Guard Letter from May 10, 2018. Submitted 76 Exhibits.
2	<a href="#">Jan Hodder, PHD</a>	February 12, 2019; March 8, 19, 2019	Against	General inquiry wishing to receive notice when available. How to participate in public hearing. Eelgrass mitigation site. No demonstrated need based on Goal 9 or 12. Coast Guard letter indicating Channel is suitable for LNG traffic. Letter indicating Pilots can safely maneuver Bay as is. Disputes claims of “economic boon”. Failure to satisfy Goal 16: (compatible with other adjacent uses) (only lists the Channel as an adjacent use). Must consider applications in other jurisdictions.
3	<a href="#">Jamie Fereday</a>	February 14, 2019	Against	General inquiry wishing to receive notice when available. Not shown a demonstrated need based on Goal 9 and Goal 12. Application shows little justification of any measured increase in safety. Detrimental to environment, economy and recreation. Application should be denied.
4	Sam Schwarz	March 12, 2019	Against	General inquiry wishing to receive notice when available.
5	<a href="#">Sarah Ruth Crawford</a>	March 13, 2019	Against	General inquiry on how to find documents related to the application. Opposes rezoning. Uphold Goal 16. Detrimental to eel grass and habitat. Carbon emitter and greenhouse gas emitter. No alterations should be made.
6	<a href="#">Debra New</a>	March 18-20, 2019	Against	Comment. Map of proposed map amendment. Damage to estuary. Impartiality. Third party study of impacts. Prior contacts / relationships with Coos Bay Council, Planning Department, and Pembina/Jordan Cove staff. Impartiality of studies done by applicant. Inquire about becoming a Planning Commissioner.

7	<a href="#">Sylvia Yamada, PHD</a>	March 20, 2019	Against	Comment. Impacts to native species, including Dungeness crab. Detriment to crabbing industry economically. Crabs found in eelgrass. Disturbance to ecosystem. Simulated dredging operation showed a 45-85% mortality rate of Dungeness crabs.
8	<a href="#">Heike-Marie Eubanks</a>	March 20, 2019	Against	Comment. Opposition to any permits or zoning changes to accommodate Jordan Cove facility. Contaminated sludge. Harm to marine life, tidal flow, recreation and fishing.
9	<a href="#">Pamela Frazier</a>	March 20, 2019	Against	Comment. Environmental degradation. Temporary project. Foreign project. Proposal part of larger extreme environmental destruction. Danger to wildfire and public by way of explosion or leak. Application should be denied.
10	<a href="#">Monique</a>	March 21, 2019	Against	Comment. Puts at risk forests and rivers. Land taken away by a Canadian company. Unethical. Scared land being taken. Does not consent to having pipeline In Oregon.
11	<a href="#">Jennifer and Robert Legate</a>	March 21, 2019	Against	Property, quality of life and enjoyment of area directly impacted. Harm Oregon's water resources, fishing, navigation and recreation. Duplicate facility being built in British Columbia. Home near surveyed pipeline is proposed. Please deny permits to alter estuary.
12	<a href="#">Confederated Tribes (in conjunction with Rick Eichstaedt from Wheat Law Offices)</a>	March 21, 2019 April 25, 2019	Neutral	This project just a piece of the entire project. Tighten up conditions of approval. Antiquated Shoreland Values Map. Adjust IWWW work window to end February 1. Support for MOU signed with applicant. Applicant does not show a demonstrated need.
13	<a href="#">Chuck Erickson</a>	March 21, 2019	Against	Strongly oppose proposal. Regulations based on sound science. Detrimental to recreational and commercial clamming, shrimping and fishing. Corporate and foreign influence.
14	<a href="#">Jan Dilley</a>	March 21, 2019 April 25, 2019	Against	Concerned about damage to estuary and the 110-120 ships a year that will be added to the current ships. Hold off on any decisions until DSL and FERC make determinations. Concerns about dredge spoil sites and tsunami in reach of dredge spoil sites. Written account of what's contained in dredge spoils.
15	<a href="#">Margaret Maddron</a>	March 21, 2019	Against	Detriment to eel grass. Concerned about effect of dredging on local marine life and recreation in the area. Detriment to wildlife that visit the Bay. Habitat loss. Kentuck Golf Course does not meet test of mitigation. Pembina paid elected representatives.

16	<a href="#">Natalie Ranker</a>	March 21, 2019 April 25, 2019	Against	Concerned about dredging and the effect on marine life and tourism, noise from blasting and dredging, pile driving that will go on for years. 1%-2% increase in LNG export from straightening of Channel does not demonstrate a public need. Coast Guard letter indicates Channel is suitable. Letter pointing to Pilot ability to safely maneuver LNG ships. Proposal is harmful to local residents who live and work in Coos Bay. Composition of dredge spoils not identified. Slope stability at dredge disposal sites. Harmful to fish and wildlife. No economic analysis completed for Goal 16. Harmful to tourism, and crabbing (recreational and commercial)
17	<a href="#">James Fereday</a>	March 21, 2019	Against	Concerned about dredging and the effect on the estuary and adjacent shorelands. Don't think there is a need to widen (Coast Guard labeled Channel suitable).
18	<a href="#">Janet Hodder</a>	March 21, 2019	Against	No justification for revision to estuary management zone. Evidence does not support the request.
19	<a href="#">Wim DeVriend</a>	March 21, 2019	Against	Concerned about damage to estuary and marine life, no justification for request amendment. Existing channel adequate to handle ship traffic.
20	<a href="#">Oregon Shores Conservation Coalition (Crag Law Center)</a>	March 21, 2019 April 25, 2019 (supplemental materials 3 emails)	Against	Concerned about damage to estuary and marine life and surrounding communities for over a decade (during work). Rebuts applicable criteria. Asks applicant to respond to DSL Letter dated April 10, 2019. Issues raised to the proposal should be addressed by the applicant prior to any final decision.
21	<a href="#">Bruce Williams</a>	March 28, 2019	Against	Exacerbate climate change. Pollute water. Harmful to fish, wildlife, native American cultural resources and public lands. Compromises domestic energy sources. A threat to communities by gas leaks, explosions and pollution.
22	<a href="#">Christine Moffitt</a>	March 22, 2019	Against	Extend comment period. Any amendments to CBEMP should be addressed to consider the aquatic system in its entirety. Piecemeal approach does not work.
23	<a href="#">Donna Tyler</a>	March 26, 2019	Against	Proposal will ruin crabbing and clamming industry. Ruin recreation. Ruin the ecosystem that lives in the Bay. Advised to please vote no to LNG.
24	<a href="#">Erin Crawford</a>	March 21, 2019	Against	Jordan Cove will destroy the estuary and creatures living in it. Dredging is counterproductive to a healthy estuary and environment.

25	<a href="#">Lynnea Helgedalen</a>	March 21, 2019	Against	Oppose a foreign company bringing fossil fuel infrastructure into Oregon. Oregon legislature have the duty to protect Oregon. Proposal is destructive and will forever change areas aquaculture and recreation. Earthquake and Tsunami risks. Any rewards do not outweigh the risks.
26	<a href="#">William Lackner</a>	March 21, 2019	Against	Clam Diggers Association opposed to proposal. Degradation of ecological productivity in Bay. Proposal threatens: Chinook, Coho, Steelhead, Dungeness and Red Rock Crab. State's proposal of Kentuck Golf Course to offset recreational mitigation does not meet test of mitigation.
27	<a href="#">Mike Graybill</a>	March 26, 2019 April 24, 2019	Against	Wanted clarification of a previous land use decisions from 2007 regarding eelgrass. Expiration of final order from 2007. Need to consider all four NRIs when considering impacts on the Estuary. Jurisdictions should be reviewing applications and respective permits in a coordinated manner. Provided comments on Staff Report (Mr. Graybill's comments on Staff Report are included in the record). Submitted 12 Exhibits.
28	<a href="#">Roberta Meyer</a>	March 26, 2019	Against	Opposes proposed zoning change. Proposal would limit public access to the Bay and hurt the local fishing industry and harm delicate ecosystems.
29	<a href="#">Darcy Grahek</a>	April 14, 2019	Against	Harmful impacts to the Estuary, eelgrass and crabbing industry. Wishes Commissioners to respect Goal 16 to protect estuarine resources.
30	<a href="#">Vicki Affatati</a>	April 16, 2019	Against	Harmful impacts to the Estuary, eelgrass and crabbing industry. Wishes Commissioners to respect Goal 16 to protect estuarine resources.
31	<a href="#">Dick Leshley</a>	April 22, 2019	For	Urges approval of the proposed zone change from NA-52 to DDNC-DA. Main concern is economic future of the Bay. A deeper, wider channel is a good first step to improve the economic prospect for the Bay.
32	<a href="#">George Wales (Coos Bay Pilots Association)</a>	April 24, 2019	For	Proposal will provide navigation enhancements necessary to improve safety, efficient and navigability for vessels transiting the Bay.
33	<a href="#">Laurie Friedman</a>	April 24, 2019	Against	Application should be denied to maintaining and protect eel grass and habit. Degradation of eelgrass will harm habitat for local wildlife and be detriment to local fishing and crabbing (including commercially and recreationally). Application will have negative impacts on the Bay's ecosystem.

34	<a href="#">Edward Hughes</a>	April 24, 2019	Against	Hydrodynamic model shows entire shoreline of Bay will be affected by the proposed dredging. Coast guard letter indicates shipping Channel is currently sufficient. Only reason for proposal is to allow LNG transport vessels.
35	<a href="#">Jon Barton</a>	April 23, 2019	For	Environmental impacts from dredging are an assumption with zero factual basis. Community stands to benefit in economic terms. The environment is remarkably self-healing.
36	<a href="#">Randy Hoffine, Pacific Properties</a>	April 23, 2019	For	In support of the proposal. In favor of potentially allowing larger ships to travel the Bay.
37	<a href="#">Dale Sause, Sause Bros Inc.</a>	April 25, 2019	For	In favor of proposal. A deeper, wider channel will create better steerage conditions for ships. Improved channel alignment, turning radius and depth. Benefit local economy. Measured environmental impact outweighed by its safety and economic benefits.
38	<a href="#">Cory Sause, Sause Bros Inc.</a>	April 25, 2019	For	In favor of proposal. A deeper, wider channel will create better steerage conditions for ships. Improved channel alignment, turning radius and depth. Benefit local economy. Measured environmental impact outweighed by its safety and economic benefits.
39	<a href="#">Steve Pfeiffer representing applicant</a>	April 25, 2019	For	Applicant's first open record period submittal
40	<a href="#">Table of Contents for Comment 39</a>			